

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Farm Service Agency
Washington, DC 20250

Acreage and Compliance Determinations
2-CP (Revision 15)

Amendment 88

Approved by: Deputy Administrator, Farm Programs



Amendment Transmittal

A Reasons for Amendment

Subparagraph 321 A has been amended to update the list of programs/activities available for spot check and review.

- ACRE, ALAP, and DCP are applicable for 2013 and prior years only.
- CTAP is applicable beginning with 2014.

Subparagraph 351 A has been amended to include a note to specify DCP is applicable to compliance reviews and spot checks for 2013 and prior years only.

Paragraph 351.5 has been added to include CTAP compliance reviews and spot checks.

Paragraph 357.7 has been amended to update questions to be documented during LIP compliance review and spot check process.

Paragraph 357.8 has been amended to update questions to be documented during LFP compliance review and spot check process.

Page Control Chart		
TC	Text	Exhibit
5, 6	3-1, 3-2 3-59, 3-60 3-62.5, 3-62.6 3-63 through 3-68 3-79 through 3-84	1, pages 1-4

Table of Contents (Continued)

Page No.

Part 3 Compliance Reviews and Spot Checks

Section 1 General Guidelines, Applicable Programs, and Selection Process

321	Introduction.....	3-1
322	National Compliance Review and Spot Check Selections	3-2
323	Refusals to Permit Farm Entry.....	3-4.5
324-327	(Withdrawn--Amend. 53)	
328	(Reserved)	
329, 330	(Withdrawn--Amend. 49)	
331	(Withdrawn--Amend. 53)	
332	Employee Reviews.....	3-29
333, 334	(Reserved)	
335	(Withdrawn--Amend. 53)	
336-343	(Reserved)	

Section 2 (Withdrawn--Amend. 41)

344	(Withdrawn--Amend. 41)	
345	(Withdrawn--Amend. 33)	
346-348	(Withdrawn--Amend. 41)	

Section 2.5 Performing Compliance Reviews and Spot Checks

349	(Withdrawn--Amend. 66)	
350	CRP Compliance Reviews.....	3-55
351	DCP Compliance Reviews.....	3-59
351.5	CTAP Compliance Reviews	3-63
352	FSA-578/Crop Acreage Compliance Reviews	3-65
353	HELC and WC Compliance Reviews.....	3-66
354	LDP's/MAL Compliance Reviews	3-70
355	NAP Compliance Reviews	3-72
356	TAP Compliance Reviews.....	3-74
357	ACRE Compliance Reviews.....	3-75
357.5	ALAP Compliance Reviews.....	3-76
357.6	ELAP Compliance Reviews	3-77
357.7	LIP Compliance Reviews	3-80
357.8	LFP Compliance Reviews.....	3-82
357.9	MILC Compliance Reviews	3-83
357.10	SURE Compliance Reviews	3-85
357.11	BCAP Compliance Reviews.....	3-86
357.12	RTCP Compliance Reviews	3-89
357.13	TAAF Compliance Reviews.....	3-90
358	(Reserved)	

Table of Contents (Continued)

Page No.

Part 3 Farm Inspections (Continued)

Section 3 National Compliance Review Database

359	General Information.....	3-95
360	Accessing the National Compliance Review Database	3-96
361	Data Entry and Navigation Instructions for the National Compliance Review Database.....	3-101
361.5	(Withdrawn--Amend. 53)	
362, 363	(Withdrawn--Amend. 53)	
363.5	(Withdrawn--Amend. 53)	
364	(Withdrawn--Amend. 25)	
365, 366	(Withdrawn--Amend. 53)	
367-374	(Reserved)	

Section 4 Notice of Acreage Report Determinations

375	Purpose of FSA-468.....	3-131
376	Processing FSA-468 on System 36.....	3-133
376.5	(Withdrawn--Amend. 41)	
377	(Withdrawn--Amend. 41)	
378	Tolerance.....	3-137
379	Discrepancy Flags for System 36 FSA-468 Process.....	3-141
380	Footnotes for System 36 FSA-468 Process	3-142
380.5	Web-Based FSA-468 Process for 2011 and Future Years.....	3-143
380.6	Processing Web-Based FSA-468's Through CARS.....	3-146
380.7	Web-Based FSA-468 Reports.....	3-151
381	Crop Acreage Discrepancies.....	3-154
382	Total Cropland Results of Acreage Reporting Determinations	3-154
383-389	(Reserved)	

Part 4 Computing Acreages

Section 1 General Guidelines

390	Acreage Determination Methods	4-1
391	Standard and Authorized Deductions	4-3
392	Applying Deductions	4-6
393	Solid Plant, Strip-Crop, Skip-Row, and Sled-Row Patterns.....	4-11
394	Evaluating and Using Acreages	4-17
395	(Withdrawn--Amend. 41)	
396	Erroneous Official Acreages.....	4-21
397	Redeterminations	4-25
398-418	(Reserved)	

Part 3 Compliance Reviews and Spot Checks

Section 1 General Guidelines, Applicable Programs, and Selection Process

321 Introduction

A Purpose

County Offices are required to conduct farm inspections to ensure that producers comply with FSA program requirements.

Producers are selected for compliance reviews and spot check through a national selection process. Producers selected shall be spot checked and reviewed for the following programs/activities:

- ACRE
- ALAP
- BCAP
- CRP maintenance and practice checks
- *--CTAP--*
- DCP
- ELAP
- FSA-578's
- HELC/WC compliance
- LDP's/MAL's
- LFP, LIP
- MILC
- NAP
- RTCP
- SURE
- TAAF
- TAP.

*--Notes: ACRE, ALAP, and DCP are applicable to compliance reviews and spot checks for 2013 and prior years only.

CTAP is applicable beginning with 2014 compliance reviews and spot checks.--*

B Time of Inspection

County Offices shall conduct inspections on producers selected through the national selection process at times applicable for the specific program/activity involved. County Offices shall follow applicable program procedure for timing of inspections.

322 National Compliance Review and Spot Check Selections

A National Producer Selection Process

Rather than selecting individual farms, loans, contracts, etc., for compliance reviews, a nationwide selection of producers will be made annually by the National Office using a statistical sampling method. Producers will be selected based on their participation in various programs. FSA employees, committee members, and other required producers are included in the national selection.

Note: Because FSA employees, STC and COC members and other “required producers” are included in the national selection, County Offices shall no longer conduct separate spot checks on required producers.

Early each calendar year, the National Office will post the listing of producers selected for annual compliance reviews on the Intranet at

--<http://fsaintranet.sc.egov.usda.gov/ffas/farmbill/ccc/default.htm>--

The national producer selection list will be broken down by State and county. Under each county, the list will display the following:

- producer first and last name and/or business name
- tax ID type (“E”ntity or “S”ocial).

Notes: In some cases, there may be multiple producers in the county with the same name. If County Offices have concerns about which producer has been selected for spot check and review, the County Office shall contact the State Office. The State Office shall contact the National Office for TIN for the selected producer.

Producers may operate as an individual and an entity. The national producer selection list will identify the tax ID type associated with the selected producer. County Offices shall only review farms, loans, contracts, etc., associated with the tax ID type listed.

Example: Joe Farmer farms as an individual and also farms as a member of a partnership. Joe Farmer was selected for 2007 compliance reviews. The national producer selection list included his first and last name and the tax ID type S. In this example Joe Farmer was selected as an individual (based on his tax ID type). Therefore, County Offices shall only check/review farms, loans, and contacts he is associated with as an individual.

Producers will be listed for each State/county they are associated; thus, compliance reviews and spot checks may be performed for a producer in multiple States/counties depending on the scope of the operation.

County Offices shall print and maintain the list of producers selected in their county. The National Office will **not** make another selection of producers for the year.

Note: Not all States and counties may have producers selected for spot check and review.

351 DCP Compliance Reviews

A Introduction

The DCP spot check process is State, county, and farm specific. Reviews shall be completed on all producers participating in DCP and selected for spot check according to national producer selection process in subparagraph 322 A. County Offices shall ensure that the spot check process is completed for each farm associated with the selected producer.

***--Note:** DCP is applicable to compliance reviews and spot checks for 2013 and prior years only.--*

B DCP Compliance Review Questions

The following questions shall be documented during DCP compliance reviews and recorded in the National Compliance Review Database. Findings shall be recorded by FSN.

County Offices must first enter the farm number for the applicable farm being spot-checked. County Office users shall take extra caution when entering the farm number in the National Compliance Review Database because there is **not** a validation to the farm records maintenance system.

Question 1 - Does each producer sharing in the base acreage for the applicable farm have control of enough effective DCP cropland to support their share of the DCP base acreage on CCC-509?

IF the spot check results indicate the division of payment provisions have...	THEN County Offices shall select...
been met	“Yes”.
not been met	“No”.

See 1-DCP, paragraph 354 for specific guidelines for determining whether the division of payment provisions have been met, including whether producers claiming a DCP payment share have control of enough DCP cropland to support base acreage.

Reminder: Determining whether a producer has control of sufficient acreage to support their claimed payment share is not simply a comparison of the acres on FSA-578 and CCC-509. Various factors, including the terms of the lease agreement, may impact this determination.

351 DCP Compliance Reviews (Continued)

--B DCP Compliance Review Questions (Continued)--

Question 2 - Did the producer accurately report all fruits and vegetables planted on DCP base acres for the farm?

IF the spot check results indicate fruits, vegetables, and wild rice are...	THEN County Offices shall select...
planted on base acreage and accurately	“Yes”.
planted on base acreage but not accurately reported	“No”.
not planted on base acreage or the farm	“Not Applicable”.

Note: Questions 3 through 5 shall be skipped if “Not Applicable” is selected.

Producers enrolled in DCP agreed to comply with the planting flexibility provisions, which include the prohibition of planting fruits, vegetables, and wild rice on DCP base acreage, when they signed CCC-509 Appendix. See 1-DCP, Part 8 and 4-CP for provisions about the planting of fruits, vegetables, and wild rice on base acreage.

Question 3 - If fruits and vegetables were planted on base acres on the farm, does 1 of the FAV planting exceptions apply?

IF the spot check results indicate that fruits, vegetables, and/or wild rice are planted on the farm and 1 of the 3 planting exceptions are...	County Offices shall select...
applicable to the farm and/or producer selected for spot check	“Yes”.
not applicable to the farm and/or producer selected for spot check	“No”.

Note: Question 3 shall be skipped if fruits, vegetables, and/or wild rice are not planted on the farm.

This question is only applicable if fruits, vegetables, and/or wild rice are planted on the farm and “Yes” or “No” was selected for question 2. See 1-DCP, Part 8 for planting exceptions that may be applicable for the farm and/or producer.

351 DCP Compliance Reviews (Continued)

B DCP Compliance Review Questions (Continued)

Question 8 - If “No”, did the producer take corrective action to correct the maintenance default on DCP base acreage?

IF the spot check results indicate the producer(s) on the farm have...	THEN County Offices shall select...
taken acceptable corrective action to meet the protection of base acre requirements	“Yes”.
not taken acceptable corrective action to meet the protection of base acre requirements	“No”.

Note: Question 8 shall be skipped if the answer to question 7 is “Yes”.

This question is only applicable if wind erosion, water erosion, and/or weeds were **not** controlled on the farm as determined necessary by STC.

Question 9 - If the farm is participating in PTPP, were base reductions approved by the State Office and correctly made for each farm?

If the spot check results indicate....	THEN the County Office shall select...
the farm is participating in PTPP and base reductions were approved by State Office and reduced correctly	“Yes”.
the farm is participating in PTPP and base reduction were not approved by State and reduced correctly	“No”.
farm is not participating in PTPP	“Not Applicable”.

Question 10: If the farm is participating in PTPP, does production evidence support processed production for applicable crop year for the base acres that were reduced?

If the spot check results indicate....	THEN the County Office shall select...
production evidence supports processed production for the applicable crop year base acres were reduced	“Yes”.
production evidence does not support processed production for the applicable crop year base acres were reduced	“No”.
farm is not participating in PTPP	“Not Applicable”.

351 DCP Compliance Reviews (Continued)

B DCP Compliance Review Questions (Continued)

Question 11: If the farm updated pulse crop base acres, is the pulse crop base established on each tract supported by documentation from 1998 through 2001 supporting the base acres that were added?

If the spot check results indicate....	THEN the County Office shall select...
the updated pulse crop base established on each tract is supported by 1998 through 2001 documentation	“Yes”.
the updated pulse crop base established on each tract is not supported by 1998 through 2001 documentation	“No”.
farm did not update pulse crop base acres	“Not Applicable”.

Question 12: If the farm updated pulse crop yields, does production evidence support production for the 1998 through 2001 crop years?

If the spot check results indicate....	THEN the County Office shall select...
production evidence supports production for updated pulse crop yields on the farm	“Yes”.
production evidence does not supports production for updated pulse crop yields on the farm	“No”.
farm did not update pulse crop yields	“Not Applicable”.

Question 13: If the farm has a rice acreage base, were rice base acres apportioned to long grain rice and medium grain rice correctly?

If the spot check results indicate....	THEN the County Office shall select...
rice base acres were apportioned to long grain and medium grain rice correctly	“Yes”.
rice base acres were not apportioned to long grain and medium grain rice correctly	“No”.
farm did not have a rice acreage base	“Not Applicable”.

***--351.5 CTAP Compliance Reviews**

A Introduction

The CTAP spot check process is State, county, and farm specific. Reviews shall be completed on all producers participating in CTAP and selected for spot check according to national producer selection process in subparagraph 322 A. County Offices shall ensure that the spot check process is completed for each farm associated with the selected producer.

B CTAP Compliance Review Questions

The following questions shall be documented during CTAP compliance reviews and recorded in the National Compliance Review Database. Findings shall be recorded by FSN.

County Offices must first enter the farm number for the applicable farm being spot-checked. County Office users shall take extra caution when entering the farm number in the National Compliance Review Database because there is **not** a validation to the farm records maintenance system.

Question 1 - Does each producer sharing in the upland cotton base acreage for the applicable farm have control of enough effective DCP cropland to support their share of the upland cotton base acreage on CCC-957?

IF the spot check results indicate the division of payment provisions have...	THEN County Offices shall select...
been met	“Yes”.
not been met	“No”.

See 1-CTAP, paragraph 352 for specific guidelines for determining whether the division of payment provisions have been met, including whether producers claiming a CTAP payment share have control of enough DCP cropland to support base acreage.

Reminder: Determining whether a producer has control of sufficient acreage to support their claimed payment share is not simply a comparison of the acres on FSA-578 and CCC-957. Various factors, including the terms of the lease agreement, may impact this determination.--*

***--351.5 CTAP Compliance Reviews (Continued)**

B CTAP Compliance Review Questions (Continued)

Question 2 - Were wind erosion, water erosion, and weeds, including noxious weeds, controlled as required on the applicable farm?

IF the spot check results indicate wind erosion, water erosion, and weeds were...	THEN County Offices shall select...
adequately controlled on the farm	“Yes”.
not controlled on the farm	“No”.

Producers enrolled in CTAP agreed to control wind erosion, water erosion, and weeds, including noxious weeds, when CCC-509 Appendix was signed. See 1-CTAP, paragraph 428 for provisions about controlling wind erosion, water erosion, and weeds, including noxious weeds.

Question 3 - If “No”, did the producer take corrective action to correct the maintenance default on CTAP acres?

IF the spot check results indicate the producer(s) on the farm have...	THEN County Offices shall select...
taken acceptable corrective action to meet the protection of base acre requirements	“Yes”.
not taken acceptable corrective action to meet the protection of base acre requirements	“No”.

Note: Question 3 shall be skipped if the answer to question 2 is “Yes”.

This question is only applicable if wind erosion, water erosion, and/or weeds were **not** controlled on the farm as determined necessary by STC.--*

352 FSA-578/Crop Acreage Compliance Reviews**A Introduction**

County Offices shall:

- perform acreage spot checks to ensure the accuracy of reported acreage
- conduct acreage spot checks on all:
 - producers selected in the county through the national compliance review/spot check selection process in subparagraph 322 A
 - late-filed acreage reports filed for crops according to subparagraph 21 A.

B Time of Inspection

County Offices shall inspect farms before evidence of the crop is destroyed.

C When to Use Ground Compliance

County Offices shall use ground compliance to determine acreage when digital imagery is not received or is not received timely.

D Performing Reviews

The entire acreage for the crop reported on FSA-578 must be spot checked.

If a selected producer is a field rent tenant on a farm that has several tenants and landowners, County Offices must check the entire crop acreage of the crop that the selected producer has an interest in, not all crops on the farm.

Example: Producer A is a tenant on the farm and only has control of 10 acres on which he has planted corn. Producer A is selected for spot check. In this case, the County Office must check all of the corn on the farm. The County Office does not have to check any other crops on the farm unless Producer A plants another crop.

E Recording Determined Acreage Results

County Offices shall:

- enter acreage determinations in the FSA-578 software according to paragraph 251
- use FSA-468 software to notify producers of spot check results.

352 FSA-578/Crop Acreage Compliance Reviews (Continued)**F Data Entered into the National Compliance Review Database**

For all producers selected for compliance review and spot check according to the national compliance selection process in subparagraph 322 A, the following data shall be recorded for entry into the National Compliance Review Database. See paragraphs 360 and 361 for instructions on accessing and entering data in the National Compliance Review Database.

- How many farms does the selected producer have an interest in? Enter the number of farms. For each farm and crop, enter “Yes” or “No” to the following.
 - Is producer out of tolerance on acreage?
 - If “Yes”, did COC determine producer knowingly and willfully submitted an inaccurate FSA-578?

353 HELC and WC Compliance Reviews**A Introduction**

This paragraph describes the processes and responsibilities of FSA and NRCS in performing HELC and WC inspections and determinations.

County Offices shall conduct HELC and WC inspections at the same time as regular compliance inspections and be on the alert for potential violations of conservation compliance provisions.

NRCS is responsible for conducting spot checks to ensure that producers are actively applying their conservation plan.

B Who Makes HELC Inspections

FSA shall check for potential noncompliance with HELC provisions while conducting regular compliance spot checks.

NRCS will make HELC spot-check determinations for:

- potential noncompliance referred by FSA on FSA-569
- a random selection of conservation plans.

C Spot-Checking HELC

FSA shall review farms for producers selected for spot check to identify potential HELC noncompliance. A potential noncompliance on spot-checked farms may be identified by:

- current year imagery, if available, or field observations with the CLU layer
- reviewing past FSA records
- County Office knowledge of farming practices in the area.

353 HELC and WC Compliance Reviews (Continued)

D Land Uses for HELC Review

The land planted to an agricultural commodity shall be reviewed for HELC compliance.

E Potential HELC Noncompliance

Potential HELC noncompliance exists on fields planted to agricultural commodities if any of the following conditions are observed or reported:

- a HEL determination is not recorded on the CLU layer
- a field has been designated HEL and it does not appear to have been cropped in prior years but is currently being cropped

Example: Land classified as HEL appeared to have been permanent pasture. This was confirmed by reviewing past records. The pasture was plowed and planted to soybeans.

- appears that conservation practices that may be required under a conservation system or plan may have been destroyed.

Example: Contour strips that are visible on the digital imagery are plowed in a field classified as HEL, and the entire field is planted to cotton.

F Verify Filing AD-1026

Determine whether any producers associated with land are required to comply with HELC provisions if a potential HELC noncompliance is discovered during the spot-check procedure according to subparagraph E, and take action according to the following.

Note: The following does not apply if NRCS requests FSA-569. If NRCS requests FSA-569, prepare FSA-569 according to 6-CP.

IF a potential HELC noncompliance is discovered during a spot check and...	THEN...
a producer filed AD-1026 certifying HELC compliance on the land	prepare FSA-569 according to 6-CP for referral to NRCS for a determination.
no producers have filed AD-1026 to certify HELC compliance on the land	do not refer to NRCS for a determination.

***--353 HELC and WC Compliance Reviews (Continued)**

G WC Inspections

Use the following to determine when FSA-569 shall be referred to NRCS for WC compliance determinations on farms selected for spot check.

Note: The following does not apply if NRCS requests FSA-569. If NRCS requests FSA-569, prepare FSA-569 according to 6-CP, paragraph 602.

Prepare FSA-569 if the land is...	AND...
classified as CW or CWXX	both of the following apply: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • producer is a program participant • CW or CWXX was planted to an agricultural commodity.
classified as W	the land appears to have been manipulated in a way that would alter the W classification.
either of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • classified as FW • does not have W determinations made by NRCS 	the land appears to have been manipulated in any way that would alter W characteristics. <p>Example 1: FW that is normally planted only in extremely dry years is planted in a year with average or above average rainfall.</p> <p>Example 2: An area that is planted to an agricultural commodity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • appears on aerial photography as a wet area in a year with average or above average rainfall • does not have a W determination by NRCS. <p>Example 3: There appears to be a new ditch through a wet area.</p>

--*

*--357.6 ELAP Compliance Reviews (Continued)

A Performing Reviews (Continued)

Question	
Honeybee Losses (Continued)	
18	<p>If the participant is applying for honeybee colony losses because of CCD, did the third party who is providing CCD certification provide all of the following: Yes or No</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • specific details about how the third party has knowledge of the honeybee colony loss • the affiliation of the third party to the participant • telephone number and address of the third party • the loss of honeybees was because of the existence of at least 3 of the 5 CCD symptoms • other details necessary for COC and DD to determine whether certification is acceptable?
19	<p>If the participant is applying for honeybee feed losses, did the participant provide verifiable documentation of purchased feed intended as feed for honeybees that was lost or additional feed purchased above normal quantities to sustain honeybees for a short period of time until additional feed becomes available because of an eligible adverse weather event or loss condition? Yes or No</p>
Farm-Raised Fish Losses	
20	<p>If the participant is applying for farm-raised fish losses, was the participant a producer of an aquatic species that is propagated and reared in a controlled environment, that is being maintained for commercial use as part of the producer's farming operation? Yes or No</p>
21	<p>If the participant is applying for farm-raised fish feed losses, did the participant provide documentation of the date feed was purchased, type and quantity of feed purchased, and the cost of feed purchased? Yes or No</p>
22	<p>If the participant is applying for farm-raised fish death losses, did the participant suffer from the physical loss of game fish (stockers) or bait fish (not raised as food for food fish)? Yes or No</p>
23	<p>If the participant is applying for farm-raised fish death losses, did the participant provide acceptable documentation verifying the type and amount of game fish or sport fish that was lost? Yes or No</p>

--*

357.7 LIP Compliance Reviews

A Performing Reviews

County Offices shall conduct LIP compliance reviews for all producers selected for compliance review and spot check that participated in LIP. County Offices shall document the following questions during LIP reviews. Findings shall be loaded in the National Compliance Review Database.

***--Notes:** FSA-914 and FSA-926 are applicable to compliance reviews and spot checks for 2013 and prior years only.

CCC-852, CCC-854, and CCC-856 are applicable to compliance reviews and spot checks beginning with 2014. Should only review CCC-852's, CCC-854's, CCC-856's, and supporting documentation for eligible livestock death losses that occurred on or after October 1, 2011.

	Question
1	Was a valid signature obtained on FSA-914 or CCC-852? Yes or No--*
2	Did the participant timely file a notice of loss? Yes or No
3	Did the participant provide verifiable and/or reliable documentation of livestock deaths claimed on FSA-914? Yes, No, or N/A If no or N/A , go to question 7.
4	Did the sources of the documents verify all of the following: Yes or No <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • documents were authentic • participant was a customer or party to the transaction • accuracy of the number and kind/type/weight range of animals listed?
5	Does the proof of death document support the number and kind/type/weight range of *--animals claimed on FSA-914 or CCC-852? Yes or No If no , go to question 17.
6	Was FSA-914 or CCC-852 approved based on third party certification? Yes or No
7	Did the participant provide FSA-926 or CCC-854 certifying to all of the following:--* Yes or No <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • no other form of proof of death is available • number of livestock, by category, in inventory when the deaths occurred • physical location of livestock by category, in inventory when the deaths occurred.
8	Did the participant provide verifiable documentation to support the reasonableness of the number of livestock inventory when the deaths occurred? Yes or No

357.7 LIP Compliance Reviews (Continued)

A Performing Reviews (Continued)

	Question
9	<p>*--Did the third party who is providing certification provide FSA-926 or CCC-854 that--* included all of the following: Yes or No</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • specific details about how the third party has knowledge of the animal deaths • the affiliation of third party to the participant • telephone number and address of third party • number and kind/type/weight range of participants livestock that died because of the applicable adverse weather events • other details necessary for COC and DD to determine whether certification is acceptable?
10	<p>Did the number and kind/type/weight range of animals certified as dead by the third party match the number and kind/type/weight range of animals certified by the participant on *--FSA-914 or CCC-854? Yes or No--*</p>
11	<p>Did the interview of the third party reveal that the individual is a reliable source who was in a position to have knowledge of the number and kind/type/weight range of dead livestock? Yes or No</p>
12	<p>*--Is this a calf and lamb open range livestock operation that provided proof of death by using the livestock beginning inventory history for reporting losses? Yes or No</p> <p>If no, go to question 15.</p> <p>Note: This question is applicable to LIP compliance reviews and spot checks beginning with 2014.</p>
13	<p>Did the livestock producer provide 4 or more years of actual inventory records for open range calves or lambs? Yes or No</p> <p>Note: This question is applicable to LIP compliance reviews and spot checks beginning with 2014.</p>
14	<p>If less than 4 years of actual inventory records for the open range calves or lambs are provided, did the County Office calculate the approved calendar year livestock beginning inventory history in item 18 of CCC-856? Yes or No</p> <p>Note: This question is applicable to LIP compliance reviews and spot checks beginning with 2014.--*</p>
15	<p>Was the death of claimed livestock the result of an eligible adverse weather event? Yes or No</p>
16	<p>For contract growers only, is the amount of monetary compensation the contractor provided the participant for the loss of income from death of the claimed livestock different from the *--amount certified by the participant on FSA-914 or CCC-852? Yes, No, or N/A--*</p>
17	<p>For discrepancies, did any discrepancy result in a refund of LIP benefits? Yes or No</p> <p>If yes, enter requested refund amount \$_____</p>

357.8 LFP Compliance Reviews

A Performing Reviews

County Offices shall conduct LFP compliance reviews for all producers selected for compliance review and spot check that participated in the program. County Offices shall document the following questions during LFP reviews. Findings shall be loaded in the National Compliance Review Database.

	Question
1	*--Was a valid signature obtained on FSA-925 or CCC-853? Yes or No--*
2	Does participant's current inventory of applicable livestock match the number and/or kind/type/weight range of livestock claimed on the LFP application? Yes or No
3	Did the participant, during the 60 calendar days before the beginning of the qualifying drought or fire condition, own, lease, purchase, enter into a contract to purchase, or was a contract grower of the livestock claimed on the LFP application? Yes or No
4	Did all livestock, entered on the LFP application, meet all eligibility criteria including being maintained for commercial use as part of the participant's farming operation? Yes or No
5	Was the number and kind/type/weight range of claimed livestock on the LFP application accurate? Yes, No, or N/A
6	<p>*--If the livestock producer was not the owner of the pastureland or grazing land, did the livestock producer provide a copy of a written lease by the applicable deadline to show that their contribution is at risk in the grazing land and pastureland for which benefits are being requested under LFP? Yes, No, or N/A</p> <p>If yes or N/A, go to question 9. If no, go to question 7.</p> <p>Note: This question is applicable to LFP compliance reviews and spot checks beginning with 2014. Only applicable to CCC-853's and supporting documentation filed for eligible grazing losses that occurred on or after October 1, 2011.</p>
7	<p>Was a written lease entered into between the livestock producer and the owner of the pastureland and/or grazing land? Yes or No</p> <p>If yes, go to question 12. If no, go to question 8.</p> <p>Note: This question is applicable to LFP compliance reviews and spot checks beginning with 2014. Only applicable to CCC-853's and supporting documentation filed for eligible grazing losses that occurred on or after October 1, 2011.</p>
8	<p>Did the owner of the grazing land or pastureland complete CCC-855 on behalf of the livestock producer? Yes or No</p> <p>If yes, go to question 9. If no, go to question 12.</p> <p>Note: This question is applicable to LFP compliance reviews and spot checks beginning with 2014. Only applicable to CCC-853's and supporting documentation filed for eligible grazing losses that occurred on or after October 1, 2011.</p>
9	<p>Did all forage information entered on the LFP application meet all eligibility criteria, including the livestock producer having risk in the grazing land and pastureland for which benefits are being requested? Yes or No</p> <p>If no, go to question 12.--*</p>

357.8 LFP Compliance Reviews (Continued)

A Performing Reviews (Continued)

	Question
10	Did the participant suffer an eligible grazing loss on rangeland managed by a Federal agency for which the Federal agency prohibited the producer from grazing the normal permitted livestock because of fire? Yes, No, or N/A
11	Did the participant meet RMPR on all the grazing land, pasture land, or rangeland entered on the LFP application or been approved for a waiver to meet RMPR's? Yes or No *--Note: This question is applicable to LFP compliance reviews and spot checks for 2013 and prior years only.--*
12	Did any discrepancy result in a refund of LFP benefits? Yes or No If yes , enter requested refund amount \$_____.

357.9 MILC Compliance Reviews

A Performing Reviews

County Offices shall conduct MILC compliance reviews for producers selected for spot check and review under the national spot check selection process. The following questions shall be documented during MILC reviews. Findings shall be recorded in the National Compliance Review Database.

	Question
1	Name of dairy operation spot checked. Enter name.
2	Was a valid signature obtained on CCC-580? Yes or No
3	Does the dairy operation meet the definition of an eligible dairy operation according to users State's interpretation of a dairy operation under DMLA-III? Yes or No
4	Did the MILC program participant meet all eligibility criteria? Yes or No
5	Did all production claimed for MILC benefit meet all eligibility criteria including being commercially marketed? Yes or No
6	Did the dairy operation complete CCC-580M to make a change to the dairy operation? Yes, No, or N/A If yes , select types of changes made: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • entity name • entity type • producer/shareholder • reconstitution/merger • share percentage • start month • TIN • transfer.
7	Was verifiable production record received in the County Office for each eligible month before payment was issued to the dairy operation? Yes or No

357.9 MILC Compliance Reviews (Continued)

A Performing Reviews (Continued)

	Question
8	Does production evidence submitted for each applicable month support production entered and paid a MILC benefit? Yes or No
9	<p>If participant submitted CCC-580 during the initial signup period, on or before January 21, 2009, did participant make their start month selection according to the applicable start month selection rules? Yes, No, or N/A</p> <p>If yes, select the applicable start month rule used, from the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • month that precedes the month CCC-580 was submitted • month in which CCC-580 was submitted • on or before the 14th of the month before the production start month selected for which the payment rate is unknown.
10	If participant submitted CCC-580 during the extended signup period, beginning January 22, 2009, did participant make their start month selection according to the applicable start month selection rules? Yes, No, or N/A
11	<p>If yes, select the applicable start month rule used, from the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • month in which CCC-580 was submitted • on or before the 14th of the month before the production start month selected for which the payment rate is unknown.
12	<p>Did participant complete CCC-580S, CCC-901, CCC-902E, or CCC-902I? Yes or No</p> <p>If yes, select which of the following was submitted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CCC-580S • CCC-901 • CCC-902E • CCC-902I.
13	Was evidence provided to confirm that participant is eligible according to the foreign person provisions and rules? Yes, No, or N/A

Reports, Forms, Abbreviations, and Redelegations of Authority

Reports

None.

Forms

This table lists all forms referenced in this handbook.

Number	Title	Display Reference	Reference
AD-1026	Highly Erodible Land Conservation (HELC) and Wetland Conservation (WC) Certification (Includes Appendix)		20, 353, 357.5, 501
AD-2007	FSA/RMA Compliance Referral		508
AD-2027	RCO Spot Checklist Growing Season Inspection Form		508
CCC-502	Farm Operating Plan for Payment Eligibility Review		357.5
CCC-509	Direct and Counter- Cyclical Program Contract		351
CCC-509 ACRE	Average Crop Revenue Election (ACRE) Program Irrevocable Election		357
CCC-509 Appendix	Appendix to Form CCC-509, Direct and Counter- Cyclical Program Contract		351, 351.5
CCC-576	Notice of Loss and Application for Payment Noninsured Crop Disaster Assistance Program	Ex. 7	21, 23, 24, 24.5, 355
CCC-576-1	Appraisal/Production Report Noninsured Crop Disaster Assistance Program		332
CCC-579	NAP Approved Yield Compliance Worksheet		355
CCC-580	Milk Income Loss Contract (MILC)		357.9
CCC-580M	Milk Income Loss Contract (MILC) Modification		357.9
CCC-580S	Milk Income Loss Contract (MILC) Supplemental		357.9
CCC-852	Livestock Indemnity Program Application		357.7
CCC-853	Livestock Forage Disaster Program Application		357.8
CCC-854	Livestock Indemnity Program - Third Party Certification		357.7
CCC-855	Emergency Assistance for Livestock, Honey Bees, and Farm-Raised Fish Program (ELAP) and Livestock Forage Disaster Program (LFP) Lease Agreement Certification Statement		357.8
CCC-856	Livestock Beginning Inventory History for Open Range Livestock Operations under the Livestock Indemnity Program (LIP)		357.7
CCC-895	Asparagus Revenue Market Loss Assistance Payment (ALAP) Program Application		357.5

Reports, Forms, Abbreviations, and Redelegations of Authority (Continued)

Forms (Continued)

Number	Title	Display Reference	Reference
CCC-901	Members Information 2009 and Subsequent Years		357.9
CCC-902E	Farm Operating Plan for an Entity 2009 and Subsequent Program Years		357.9
CCC-902I	Farm Operating Plan for an Individual 2009 and Subsequent Program Years		357.9
CCC-957	Cotton Transition Assistance Program (CTAP) Application		351.5
CRP-1	Conservation Reserve Program Contract		16, 78, 308, 350, 497
CRP-1 Appendix	Appendix to Form CRP-1, Conservation Reserve Program Contract		16
FSA-54 <u>1</u> /	County Office Work Measurement System		251
FSA-409	Measurement Service Record	461, 464	15, 21, 397, 459, 460, 462
FSA-409A	Measurement Service Request Register	462	459
FSA-426	MPCI/FCIC Information Request Worksheet	510.6	509, 510
FSA-441	Order for Aerial Photography		491
FSA-468	Notice of Determined Acreage	376, 380.6	15, 318, 352, 375, 379, 380, 380.5, 380.7, 397
FSA-569	NRCS Report of HELC and WC Compliance		20, 353
FSA-577	Report of Supervisory Check	332	
FSA-578	Report of Acreage		Text, Ex. 2, 10.5
FSA-603	Collection Register for State and County Offices		459
FSA-658	Record of Production and Yield		357
FSA-682	Supplemental Revenue Assistance Payments Program Application		357.10
FSA-914	Livestock Indemnity Program Application		357.7
FSA-918	Emergency Loss Assistance for Livestock Application		357.6
FSA-925	Livestock Forage Disaster Program Application		357.8
FSA-926	Livestock Indemnity Program - Third Party Certification		357.7
NRCS-CPA-026	Highly Erodible Land and Wetland Conservation Determination		495, 501, 502, 503, 505, 506.5

1/ This form is obsolete.

Reports, Forms, Abbreviations, and Redelegations of Authority (Continued)

Abbreviations Not Listed in 1-CM

The following abbreviations are not listed in 1-CM.

Approved Abbreviation	Term	Reference
ALAP	Asparagus Revenue Market Loss Assistance Payment Program	321, 357.5
AV	administrative variance	378, 461
ARD	acreage reporting date	18, Ex. 6.5
BWEP	Boll Weevil Eradication Program	17
CARS	Crop Acreage Reporting System	41, Part 2.5, 375, 380.5, 380.6
CCD	colony collapsed disorder	357.6
CCM	compressed county mosaic	437
CIMS	Comprehensive Information Management System	22
CRM	Customer Relationship Management	41, 73-75, Ex. 10.5, 11
CTAP	Cotton Transition Assistance Program	321
CVS	Compliance Validation System	41, 308, 308.5
DGPS	Differential Global Positioning System	421
DMLA-III	Dairy Market Loss Assistance Program III	357.9
DOQ	Digital Orthophotography	501, Ex. 2
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration	421
FGDC	Federal Geographic Data Committee	505.5
FMVA	Field Market Value A	357.10
FMVB	Field Market Value B	357.10
FTP	file transfer protocol	501, 504, 505.5, Ex. 37
FW	farmed wetland	353
Gateway	Geospatial Data Gateway	505.5
GDW	Geospatial Data Warehouse	505.5
GPS	global positioning system	390, 394, 420, 421, 460, 463, Ex. 2
ITS	Information Technology Services	421
LAM	Loss Adjustment Manual	355
MDOQ	Mosaic Digital Orthophoto Quadrangle	19, 498, 505.5
MT	Maintenance Tool	394, 463
NAIP	National Agricultural Imagery Program	21, 437, 444, 505.5, 506.6
NHEL	non highly erodible land	494, 502
ODB	object data base	506.5
OFAV	other fruits and vegetables	85, 141

Reports, Forms, Abbreviations, and Delegations of Authority (Continued)

Abbreviations Not Listed in 1-CM (Continued)

Approved Abbreviation	Term	Reference
PFC	Production Flexibility Contract	376
PLSS	Public Land Survey System	506.5
PRF	pasture, rangeland, and forage	18, Ex. 6.5
PTPP	Planting Transferability Pilot Program	351, 357
RMPR	risk management purchase requirement	357.6, 357.8, 357.10
RTCP	Reimbursement Transportation Cost Payment Program	321, 357.12
SAP	Systems, Applications, and Products in Data Processing	Ex. 10.5, 11
SOR	State Office System of Records	322
SRA	Standard Reinsurance Agreement	508
TAAF	Trade Adjustment Assistance for Farmers	321, 357.13
“T” area	transitional area	86
W	wetland	353, 494, 495, 499
WAAS	Wide Area Augmentation System	421

Delegations of Authority

This table lists the redelegation of authority in this handbook.

Redelegation	Reference
<p>In routine cases, COC may redelegate to CED, in writing, the authority to act on, or sign, as applicable, CCC-576, Parts C and H.</p> <p>Note: The redelegation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • must define what COC considers routine • shall be recorded in COC minutes. 	1-NAP, paragraph 401